

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/052,939	10/29/2001	Jeff Bonja	OIC-PT015	1120	
3624	7590 08/07/2003				
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			EXAMINER		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			NGUYEN, TU T		
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/052,939	BONJA, JEFF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,12,13,15-21,23 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,10,11,14,22,24,25 and 27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	arrimer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)		•				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Serial Number: 10/052,939

Filing Date: 10/29/01

Detailed Office Action

Paper No: 3

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7,9,12-13,15-21,23,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osawa (JP 11-295569) in view of Abe et al (5,263,109).

With respect to claims 1-7,15-20, Osawa discloses a method for testing an optical fiber. The method comprises: providing a plurality of optical fibers F(F1) (fig 3), transmitting a signal at a first end 15 (fig 3), measuring the light transmission light, measuring the transmission time difference between the fibers (abstract), adjusting the fiber length to control the difference in the light transmission light (solution), connecting an optical detector to a second end of the fibers 16 (fig 4).

Osawa does not explicitly disclose comparing the difference in the light transmission times to a predetermined value. Abe discloses a method for controlling a transmission time within a predetermined value (column 1, lines 50-68). It would have been obvious to modify Osawa with Abe to make the system more accurate as taught by Abe in column 1, lines 45-50.

With respect to claims 9,23, Osawa does not explicitly disclose the material of the fiber segment. However, it would have been obvious a design choice to select different material of the fiber segment to control the transmission time for different purposes. The modification involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to claims 12-13,21,26, since Osawa discloses adjusting the fiber length for controlling the transmission time, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the fiber could be added or removed for adjusting the length.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8,10-11,14,22,24-25,27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior arts of record does not disclose a formula for calculating the length of the fiber to be added or removed as discloses in claims 8,10-11,14,22,24-25,27.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9185. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 2877

7/26/03

3